## **DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 2021/79 – CONSULTATION RESPONSES**

Set out below are the extracts from the consultation responses received from the stakeholder consultation on the proposed development application.

#### 1. Fire & Rescue Service

# (1a) Consultation Response on 21/09/2021

Good morning Ismail,

Thank you for meeting with us on Monday to discuss the plans in question.

What I will request is a copy of the building plans to enable us to enter the relevant recommended passive and/or active fire safety data.

In addition, it is also recommended that any new firefighting and safety equipment for the site are a standalone dedicated equipment, with no integration with the existing fire safety equipment installed in the New BFI, including a quantity (to be determined) of stored firefighting water with a hydrant connection. This is on the basis of the type of 'hot works' that will be undertaken on the premises, and for safeguarding the adjacent New BFI and any future development.

It is also advised that an additional Access/Egress road be developed for the purpose of serving this site and any future sites, that would alleviate any potential Health & safety concerns with the only existing road running adjacent the New BFI Complex in the event of any unfortunate incidents.

Kind regards

Alan Thomas

Brigade Manager St Helena Fire & Rescue Service Ladder Hill HQ

## (1b) Initial Response from Fire & Rescue on 13/09/2021

No objections, however I would recommend that in the building control phase, we would appreciate if these plans be submitted to us, in order to enter the minimal fire safety recommendations.

### 2. SHG T&SD Portfolio's Infrastructure Division - Response from Paul Cherrett on 17/09/2021

Hi Shane,

Just to record the discussion we had earlier regarding the proposed development by AMD in Ruperts valley. Our points of concern are:

- The position of the soakaway is very close to the boundary of the SHAP site. This site already suffers with water coming through the lower bank during heavy rain. I am concerned that soakaway water could therefore impact on this site.
- There may be a need to construct a secondary egress route from the valley in case of an incident at the BFI when operational. If the proposed development goes ahead and people other than BFI staff are in the area this egress will need to be made accessible for all and increase the cost.
- Due to the above it will be important that the proposed development is contained within the specified parcels. If areas around the site are used for storage or parking this will impinge access.

	access.				
Best re	egards				

David Objection			
Paul Cherrett			
St Helena Government			

Paul