ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT VOLUME 4 - A14.3 FINAL REPORT ON MARINE ECOLOGY SURVEY AT RUPERT'S BAY, ST HELENA IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE AIR ACCESS PROJECT, JANUARY 2007



Final Report on Marine Ecology Survey at Rupert's Bay, St Helena in conjunction with the Air Access Project



Prepared by: Emma L Bennett Marine Scientific Officer Fisheries Section Agriculture and Natural Resources Department St Helena Island 11th January 2007

Table of Contents:

Background	5
Methods	5
1. Fish survey	5
2. Benthic survey	5
3. Turtle sightings (and any other marine sightings)	6
Personnel involved	6
Results	6
1. Fish survey	6
Figure 1.	7
Figure 2	7
Figure 3	
2. Benthic survey	
Figure 4	
Table 1	9
3. Turtle sightings (and any other marine sightings)	9
Limitations	9
Conclusion	9
Appendices	10
Appendix I – Tritan Survey bathymetric map of benthos	10
Appendix II – Forms for fish counts – target species	11
Appendix III – Forms for fish counts – endemic and common species	
Appendix IV – List of scientific names of fish	14
Appendix V – Form for Turtle Sightings	15
Appendix VI - Rough guide of transect locations	17

BACKGROUND

The Fisheries Section of the Agriculture and Natural Resources Department (ANRD) was asked to conduct a marine ecology survey at Rupert's Bay, St Helena Island. The extent of the survey was such as to cover the area as detailed in the map opposite (Map projection using SHEIS GIS programme).

Equipment was purchased by Faber Maunsell Ltd and will be kept at the Access Office, having being on loan to ANRD for the duration of the survey and in future for any other relevant surveys.

Due to limited human resources within the Fisheries Section, it was established that help be sought from members of the local St Helena Diving Club (SHDC) and they would be paid by the Access Office.

Methodology was determined by past experience of personnel within the Fisheries Section, personnel from Faber Maunsell Ltd and assistance from Tritan Survey (who had conducted a bathymetric survey in Ruperts Bay).



Surveys were conducted on the 24th November and 11th December 2006

METHODS

- 1. Fish survey Equipment:
- 50m weighted transect line Underwater boards Underwater pencils Recording sheets GPS

Method:

Selected transects were determined so that a representative sample of the area was covered in the survey. Transects were determined by using the maps produced by Tritan Survey.

At each transect, the GPS location was recorded, along with depth and environmental parameters including sea temperature, time, sea state, swell height, cloud cover and visibility.

2 divers laid a 50m weighted transect line on the seabed. Using underwater boards and prepared recording sheets, divers recorded target species encountered 2m either side of the transect line and common and endemic species. For target species, estimated length of each fish encountered was recorded. For common and endemic species, abundance figures were used to determine the estimated number along each transect line.

2. Benthic survey
Equipment:
2 1m² weighted quadrats
1 underwater video camera and accessories

Method

At each fish transect benthic quadrats were also conducted.

A weighted quadrat was placed at 10m intervals along each transect line. An underwater video camera was then used to video each quadrat. On completion of the benthic survey, the video was analysed on computer. At each point of the quadrat (which was split up into 10cm intervals), the benthos was recorded i.e feather star, turf algae, etc and percentage cover was established.

In addition to this, random sampling of invertebrates and other marine animals actually under boulders, etc was carried out on one transect line.

3. Turtle sightings (and any other marine sightings)

Equipment:

1 clipboard 1 pencil Predefined Recording sheets Binoculars

Method

An observer was situated from 1pm to 4pm at Rupert's Jetty. Using binoculars, the observer scanned the area and recorded time, species (if known) and number of turtles seen. Environmental parameters were recorded every fifteen minutes including sea state, swell height, cloud cover and visibility.

PERSONNEL INVOLVED

Personnel involved in the marine ecological survey included: Graham Sim, Diving Officer of the St Helena Diving Club and Michael Young, Coxwain of St Helena Diving Club boat. From the Agriculture and Natural Resources Department (ANRD), Emma L Bennett, Marine Scientific Officer; Denis Owen, Temporary Marine Assistant; and Dwayne-Eddie Joshua, Youth in Training from Social Security. William Latimer, Associate Director – Environment, Faber Maunsell also assisted in the methodology.

RESULTS

1. Fish survey

There were 4 transects conducted within the Ruperts Bay area. The reason for this was based on benthic composition after being shown maps produced by Tritan Survey who had previously completed a bathymetric survey at Ruperts. It was therefore agreed that there need only be surveys done within a limited area, as the benthos composition was the same on the "scattered reefs" and the composition of the majority of the area of Ruperts Bay is sand.

GPS positions were taken of each transect, however due to time constraints, a map has not been produced. A rough guide of location of transects can be found in appendix VI.

At each transect, depth remained fairly consistent varying from 3-5m. Visibility was quite poor at all transects being only 4-8m. Cloud cover varied from 2 oktas to 8 oktas. 4 transects were conducted over 2 days. On each occasion, one transect was conducted in mid morning,

and one early afternoon. It is not thought that time of day has any effect on fish seen. Sea temperature remained constant throughout with a temperature of 21°C. Sea state remained fairly constant being calm. This was due to personnel having to wait on sea conditions to be calm when there were periods of rough sea. Calm sea was needed due to the shallow working environment.

The graphs below show the species encountered at each site.



Figure 1.

Figure 2.







As can be seen from figures 1,2 and 3 above, abundance of fish species is not great per 50m transect. This is to be expected in an area where the benthic habitat is primarily sand and is related to the benthic survey (results below). At transect 4 there were 8 Cavalley seen.

2. Benthic survey

6 quadrats were done at each transect site – at 10m intervals (inclusive of start and finish).



As can be seen from the graph above, the majority of benthos cover is sand and bare rock (in this instance, "rock" is determined as bare rock, with a thin layer of sand). It was not possible to identify the benthos to species level.

A Shannon diversity statistic (H') was done to determine the statistical diversity between the 4 transects. The table below shows these results:

8

Table 1.

Table showing the difference in H' for the 4 transects (based on average percentage cover).

H' values			
Transect 1	Transect 2	Transect 3	Transect 4
-1.1665	-0.3948	-0.9263	-1.4205

This table shows that transect 4 had the highest diversity in comparison to transect 2. This agrees with the hypothesis that there is a much lower diversity in areas of sand than areas of "scattered reef". This also correlates with fish abundance as described above. Elsewhere along the coast, turf algae is usually seen, however the majority of the rocks observed during the transect in Ruperts Bay were naked of anything other than a thin coat of sand.

In addition to the above mentioned benthic species, other species were also encountered along two of the transects namely, starfish (species unknown), sea worms (species unknown), sea cucumbers (species unknown), feather stars (species unknown) and sea crabs (species unknown).

3. Turtle sightings (and any other marine sightings)

There were 2 dedicated afternoons where observations were made. During this time Hawksbill Turtles *Eretmochelys imbricata* were encountered on one afternoon periodically. Occurrence of hawksbill turtles in Ruperts Bay could be related to offloading of fishing boats, as this is when the turtles are seen most frequently.

LIMITATIONS

The main limitation of this survey was the unpredictable sea conditions. The area surveyed was in very shallow depths, close to shore and hence there needed to be almost no swell in order to conduct the surveys.

CONCLUSION

As can be seen from the results above, both the fish and benthic surveys found that there is a low diversity in Ruperts Bay. The areas of scattered reef that were surveyed showed that there was some benthic life, however it was not substantial. In the survey that was conducted in the sand, this had hardly any marine life at all. It can therefore be assumed that due to the movement of the sea in the Ruperts Bay area, there is not much chance for organisms to establish themselves. This would account for the lack of turf algae growth on the rocks and the low diversity of fish species in relation to this.

The change in substrate of the benthos had already been conducted by Tritan Survey.

There appears to be frequent turtle sightings in the Ruperts Bay area, both as a result of this survey and two years worth of a marine sightings scheme.

It can therefore be concluded that the surveyed area, which is a fair proportion of the area of Ruperts Bay, does not contain any marine life that cannot be found elsewhere along the coast of St Helena. It would however seem appropriate to put in some mitigation measure to take the turtle sightings into account.

APPENDICES





Appendix II – Forms for fish counts – target species

Mar	Marine Ecological Survey at Ruperts Bay - Target Species Form						
Site name:		Date:					
GPS:		Depth:	Time:				
Visibility:	Cloud Cover:	Sea Temp:	Sea State:				

Target species/ Estimated length	Grouper/Jack	Hardback Soldier	Softback/Bastard Soldier	Rock Bullseye	Conger (no. seen)	Crayfish (no. seen)
1-10						
11-15						
16-20						
21-25						
26-30						
31-35						
36-40						
41-45						
45-50						

GPS:	•••••	Depth:	٦ .	⊺ime:		••••••	•••••						
Visibility:	. Cl	oud Co	over:			Sea Temp:		Sea St	tate:	••••••			
Endemic species Abundance Counts	-	2-4	5-16	17-64	65-256	Common species Abundance Counts	-	2-4	5-16	17-64	65-256		
Ascension Goby						Blackfish						Ë	•
Bastard Cavalley Pilot						Brim						seel	
Bastard Cunningfish						Cavalley Pilot						mber	
Bastard Fivefinger						Fivefinger						Ž	N.
Bastard Hogfish						Grannyfish							
Cunningfish						Gurnard							
Greenfish						Old Wife							
Hogfish						Rockspear						red:	
Marmalade Razorfish						Shitty Trooper						ounte	
Parrotfish/Canaryfish						Soapfish						enco	
Rockfish						Trantran						ecies	
Sand Greenfish						Devilfish						h sp ame:	040

Red Mullet						

Local common name	Scientific name
Ascension Goby	Priolepis ascensionis
Bastard Cavalley Pilot	Stegastes sanctaehelenae
Bastard Cunningfish	Chaetodon dichrous
Bastard Fivefinger	Chromis sanctaehelenae
Bastard Hogfish	Canthigaster sanctaehelenae
Blackfish	Melichthys niger
Brim	Kyphosus sectatrix
Cavalley	Pseudocaranx dentex
Cavalley Pilot	Chromis multilineata
Conger	Gymnothorax (Lycodontis) moringa
Cunningfish	Chaetodon sanctaehelenae
Devilfish	Ophioblennius atlanticus atlanticus
Fivefinger	Abudefduf saxatilis
Flounder	Bothus mellissi
Grannyfish	Amblycirrhitus pinos
Greenfish	Thalassoma sanctaehelenae
Grouper/Jack	Epinephelus adscensionis
Gurnard	Scorpaena plumieri
Hardback Soldier	Holocentrus adscensionis
Hogfish	Acanthostracion notacanthus
Marmalade Razorfish	Xyrichtys blanchardi
Old Wife	Diplodus sargus helenae
Parrotfish/Canaryfish	Bodianus insularis
Red Mullet	Apogon axillaries
Rock Bullseye	Heteropriacanthus cruentatus
Rockfish	Sparisoma strigatum
Rockspear	Synodus synodus
Sand Greenfish	Xyrichtys sanctaehelenae
Shitty Trooper	Acanthurus bahaianus
Soapfish	Rypticus saponaceus
Softback/Bastard Soldier	Myripristis jacobus
Trantran	Aulostomus strigosus

Appendix IV – List of scientific names of fish

Appendix V – Form for Turtle Sightings

	Marine Ecological Survey at Ruperts Bay – Turtle sightings form								
				,	Turtle Effo	rt sheet			
Date:		Lo	ocation:			GPSPosition:			
Observ	er/s:		St	art Time:	Ene	d Time:			
Page N	umber:		Binocular	s Used:					
Data to	be recorded	l every 15 mi	nutes:						
Time	Seastate	Swell (m)	Cloud Cover	Visibility	Sun Glare	Birds in first minute (Species and Number)	Turtles Present (Y/N)		

Turtle Sightings sheet

Date:			Location:		G	PSPosition:	
Observer/s:				tart Tim	e: End Time: _		
Page N	umber:		Binocula	rs Used			
Data to	be recor	ded whenev	er sighting a turtle:				
Time	Angle	Bearing	Species	No. seen	Description of location seen (in relation to Ruperts Jetty, i.e beach area, Jetty, Birddown)	Birds Present (Y/N + no. & sp.)	Notes
	1	1		1	1	1	

Transect	GPS position	Rough location
No.	_	
1	15°55.095'S 005°42.834'W	Near existing Jetty
2	15°55.014'S 005°42.684'W	East side on sand
3	15°54.836'S 005°43.077'W	From existing Jetty towards "beach area"
4	15°54.744'S 005°42.965'W	East side on rocks

Appendix VI - Rough guide of transect locations